

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/867,830	05/30/2001	Volker Lehmann	00 P 21957 US	6111	
7:	590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER		
Altera Law Group, LLC			GORDON, BRIAN R		
6500 City West Parkway Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, MN 55344-7704			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/867,830	LEHMANN, VOLKER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian R. Gordon	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2-6-06</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	stent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/867,830

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed February 2, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the 112, first paragraph rejection, applicant asserts. "the Flow-Thru Chip is referred to by Applicant as an exemplary embodiment only and therefore Applicant is fully entitled to any equivalent structures within the meaning of its claims." Applicant further provided a number of locations in which applicant believes such a statement is supported. The examiner is unsure if the page and line number are from the original specification or the substitute specification (which has no line numbers). However, the examiner has reviewed the specification and has not found a statement which states the analysis chip may be any equivalent structure to that of the Flow-Thru Chip. While the applicant may intend for the Flow-Thru Chip to be an exemplary embodiment, no other embodiments or analysis chip configurations are given in the specification. It is clear the not just any analysis chip will function within the device as claimed. An analysis chip can simply be considered a number of different structures. For example, any substrate with a channel, cavity, capillary, etc or area in which detection may occur by means of a reactive indicator, or be subjected to optical analysis (as well as others). The analysis chip must be of a particular configuration to support the operation of the instant invention. Since there are no other examples or configurations disclosed the examiner assumes only the Flow-Thru Chip structure is adequate for the function of the device. If applicant insists on maintaining the same position, the examiner hereby invites applicant to point out the location as well as

Application/Control Number: 09/867,830 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

provide the specific passage which states the analysis chip can be any equivalent structure to that of the Flow-Thru Chip. While the trademark may not be used in the claims, the scope of the term "analysis chip" only includes that which applicant has disclosed, the configuration of the Flow-Thru Chip.

For reasons given herein the previous 112, first paragraph, rejection is hereby maintained.

In view of the amendment/remarks the previous 103 rejections are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-2 and 6-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for use of the Flow-Thru Chip, does not reasonably provide enablement for any other analysis chip configuration (as stated by applicant in the remarks of 2/6/06). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. (see Response to Arguments).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1743

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,830

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 5

brg

ERY